Grant-Related Survey Responses

TBI Registry

Question/ Response Options	Nebraska*	Virginia*	Alaska	California	Georgia	Idaho	Kansas	Minnesota	Missouri	Rhode Island	Utah	Vermont	Iowa	North Carolina
1-Yes state has TBI registry 2-No; establishing registry is a grant goal 3-Other	1	1	2	2	1	3 – Time sensitive emergency response system	2	1	1	1	2	3	1	2
1-Existing registry is surveillance only 2-Registry includes a mechanism to connect to services 3-Other	2	2	Blank	2	2	1	1 - trauma registry	2	1	1	2	Blank	2	Blank

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey

Question/	Nebraska	Virginia	Alaska	California	Georgia	Idaho	Kansas	Minnesota	Missouri	Rhode	Utah	Vermont	Iowa	North
Response Options	(Mentor)	(Mentor)								Island				Carolina
1-We have done it and used Ohio questions 2-We have done it and have used other questions 3-We have applied but were not successful 4-We haven't participated	4	3	3	4	4	4 - My understanding is that it costs 2500.00 to add a ques. to BRFSS	4 – in over 10 years	Blank	2	1	2	2	4	1

TBI Waivers

Question/ Response Options	Nebraska (Mentor)	Virginia (Mentor)	Alaska	California	Georgia	Idaho	Kansas	Minnesota	Missouri	Rhode Island	Utah	Vermont	lowa	North Carolina
1-We have an ABI waiver 2-We have a TBI specific waiver 3-We have other waivers persons with brain injury can access	2,3	3	Blank	3 – sort of	3	3	1 – Final approval	2	3	3	1	2,3	1	2
1-We have access to utilization data and analyze it 2-We can get data, but aren't doing anything with it 3-We are not getting data	3	3	3	2	1	2	3-yet	2	1	3	2	1	2	1

Medicaid

Question/ Response Options	Nebraska (Mentor)	Virginia (Mentor)	Alaska	California	Georgia	Idaho	Kansas	Minnesota	Missouri	Rhode Island	Utah	Vermont	Iowa	North Carolina
1 -We have expanded Medicaid 2-We have not 3-We have implemented managed care waivers 4-We have not	3	1	1	2,4	3	2 – Voter- approved initiative should be expanding this legislative session	3	1	1	1	1 – Expanded this year to begin July 2019	1	1	2
1-We have access to utilization data and analyze it 2-We can get data, but aren't doing anything with it 3-We are not getting data	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	2	1	1	2	1	3	1

All Payer Claims Database

Question/ Response Options	Nebraska (Mentor)	Virginia (Mentor)	Alaska	California	Georgia	Idaho	Kansas	Minnesota	Missouri	Rhode Island	Utah	Vermont	Iowa	North Carolina
1-We have one 2-We don't	?	1	2	2	2	1 – They have one for Medicaid	2	Blank	2	1	1	1	2	1
1-We have access to utilization data and analyze it 2-We can get data, but aren't doing anything with it 3-We are not getting data	3	3	3	3	3	3	3-yet	Blank	3	1	2	3	Blank	2

Data Access and Needs Survey Responses

Data Sources (e.g. TBI registry, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System - BRFSS, etc.)

Question/ Response Options	Nebraska (Mentor)	Virginia (Mentor)	Alaska	California	Georgia	Idaho	Kansas	Minnesota	Missouri	Rhode Island	Utah	Vermont	lowa	North Carolina
What data sources do you have and/or regularly use?	TBI Registry, Needs Survey	Registry, BI First database	BRFSS - but no TBI questions yet	Needs assessment, CDC	Central registry	Registry, N&R Data, RedCap, Qualtrics, AMS, SAS/SPSS, School- Based K-12 Mediciad Data	Waiver, Trauma Registry	Waiver, TBI Registry	BRFSS, State Registry	YRBS, BRFSS, Emergency room data, registry	Emerg- ency Depart- ment, Hospital Discharge Data, BRFSS, mortality data	Medicaid/ Waiver/BR FSS/VT Dept. of Health- vital statistics and discharge data for morbidity and mortality rates	Blank	BRFSS, Needs Assessme nt
What data sources would you like to have or use?	BRFSS, Waiver, Medicaid	VHI, BRFSS, TBD	Working on access to Trauma Registry, Health Facilities Data Reporting, and AK AIMS	State registry	TBD	Waiver, Medicaid	TBI Registry, BRFSS	Blank	Enhancing the State Registry, Waiver (applying for one now)	Blank	APCD, Registry	Registry	Blank	TBI Registry, or be a part of registry

Data Capacity (e.g. ability, technology, etc.)

Question/ Response Options	Nebraska (Mentor)	Virginia (Mentor)	Alaska	California	Georgia	Idaho	Kansas	Minnesota	Missouri	Rhode Island	Utah	Vermont	Iowa	North Carolina
Existing: 1- Comfortable and competent 2-Not totally lost 3-Totally lost	2	2	1 - The PI is a researcher and has several research colleagues working on data for the AK needs assessment	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	Blank	2
Data needs	Coordinated data collection and usage plan	Coordinated data collection and usage plan	Currently we need guidance on ICD 9 & 10 codes as well as on analyzing economic impact. Also, any guidance/ lessons learned from states who have analyzed secondary data or collected primary data for a needs assessment is certainly welcome.	State registry	TBD	Have access to a biostatistician & Public Health PhD.'s	Have access to a biostatistician & Public Health PhD.'s	We have the data experts	Enhancing state registry	Better coordination with hospital	Coordinated data collection and usage plan	Blank	Blank	Coordinated data

Data Sharing

Question/ Response Options	Nebraska (Mentor)	Virginia (Mentor)	Alaska	California	Georgia	Idaho	Kansas	Minnesota	Missouri	Rhode Island	Utah	Vermont	Iowa	North Carolina
1-We have an existing MOU to exchange data between state agencies 2-We don't have anything formal 3-We don't have anything	1 – For TBI Registry only	2	3 - not yet but working toward this with HFDR data and hopefully Trauma data	2	2	2	Blank	2	1	1	1	I'm not sure if we have anything formal; it is sometimes challenging to get the data we want/need from other State agencies	Blank	2
1-Data exchange between agencies is good 2-Data exchange is problematic 3-Other	2	2	1 - So far our interactions with the state agency for HFDR data have been very positive	3	2	3 - Data can take a long time to acquire from some sources and in some cases it can cost to access certain types of data	Blank	2	1	3 – RI Dept. of Health provides data to other state agencies	1	Blank	Blank	1 – So far so good but just in beginning stage of data sharing

This project was supported, in part by state grants from the U.S. Administration for Community Living, Department of Health and Human Services, Washington, D.C. 20201. Grantees undertaking projects under government sponsorship are encouraged to express freely their findings and conclusions. Points of view or opinions do not, therefore, necessarily represent official Administration for Community Living policy.